### Acoustic Detection of Oil in the Water Column Eduardo Loos<sup>1\*</sup>, Richard Gould<sup>2</sup>, John Marko<sup>1</sup>, David Topham<sup>1</sup>, David Lemon<sup>1</sup>, James Bartlett<sup>1</sup> ASL Environmental Sciences <sup>1</sup>ASL Environmental Sciences, Victoria, BC, Canada, <sup>2</sup>US Naval Research laboratory, Stennis Space Center, MS, USA U.S.NAVAL \*eloos@aslenv.com LABORATORY

### Introduction

Capabilities for detecting oil in the water column have relied most heavily on acoustic and, to a much lesser extent, optical technologies, with the latter, generally, being less favoured due to turbidity-imposed limitations on measurement ranges.

Research has been dominated by planned and opportunity-driven field programs, which have tested promising alternative off-the shelf instruments on real or simulated oil and gas plumes.

work using our proprietary acoustic ASL's own near-simultaneous, co-located technology on backscattering measurements carried out on suspended water column targets using four different acoustic frequencies between 125-774 kHz demonstrated that detailed characterizations of suspended particle size distributions could be extracted for suspensions characterized by wide ranges of particle size and particles/volume.

We present preliminary results from tests we carried in July 2018 with ASL's multi-frequency upward looking sonar (Figure 1) at the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement's OHMSETT Oil Spill Response Research and Renewable Energy Facility outdoor wave tank (Figure 2) using HOOPS crude oil dispersed in saltwater in collaboration with the US Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) and the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).



Figure 1. Various deployment configurations of ASL's multi-frequency upward looking sonar.



Figure 2. Characteristics of the OHMSETT test tank in Leonardo, NJ. Source: <a href="https://www.nrt.org/site/download.ashx?counter=3105">https://www.nrt.org/site/download.ashx?counter=3105</a>

# Methods

- Oil was injected at depth above ASL's multi-frequency upward looking sonar (Figure 3).
- Different oil release rates and pressures were used.
- Acoustic backscatter data were collected over four frequencies: 455, 769, 1250, and 2000 kHz.
- Bubbles were also added to the oil releases.



Figure 3. Subsurface oil release over ASL's multi-frequency upward looking sonar.

### Results

- different release configurations (Figure 4).
- Bubbles were also detected acoustically.
- strength data of all four frequencies used.



Figure 4. Acoustic backscatter data from all four frequencies (455, 769, 1250, 2000 kHz) during subsurface oil injection.

We would like to thank the US Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE) for providing funding under Project No.1091 "Estimating Oil Slick Thickness with LiDAR Remote Sensing Technology" for James Bartlett to participate in the data collection at OHMSETT. BSEE has managed the OHMSETT in Leonardo, New Jersey.

We also would like to thank Jay Cho (BSEE), Richard Gould (NRL), and Robyn Conmy (EPA), Alan Guarino (OHMSETT) for all the support they provided for the success of this experiment.



• Oil was detected acoustically in all four frequencies under all the

• We are currently in the process of analyzing all the backscattering

• We expect to develop models of oil concentration and oil drop-size distribution to better understand how ASL's multi-frequency upward looking sonar can be used to detect underwater oil spills.

## Acknowledgements